

Attorney Docket No. 13DV-13878 (07783-0094)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of: RIGNEY et al.

Application No.: 10/086,148 : Group Art Unit: 3726

Filed: October 22, 2001 : Examiner: Rosenbaum, Irene.

For: METHOD FOR REPLACING A DAMAGED TBC CERAMIC LAYER

REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Appellants replies to those portions of the Examiner's Answer of November 22, 2004 that require a reply, as follows:

7. GROUPING OF CLAIMS

In the Grouping of Claims section, the Examiner disagrees with Appellants' statement in the Appeal Brief that the claims do not stand or fall together. The rules permit the reasons underlying this position to be stated in the flow of the discussion under the Argument section, and that is done on a claim-by-claim basis. The reasons underlying the patentability of every claim are discussed separately within the Argument section of the Appeal Brief. Accordingly, the claims are considered separately. Appellants had inadvertently not identified claim 27 in either the Appeal Brief filed April 19, 2004 or the Supplemental Appeal Brief filed August 23, 2004. In

